

# Fertility sparing options for women with ovarian neoplasms

Zygouris Dimitrios<sup>1</sup>, Panagopoulos Perikles<sup>1</sup>, Christodoulaki Chrysi<sup>1</sup>, Vrachnis Nikolaos<sup>2</sup>, Georgiou Athanasios<sup>1</sup>, Chrelias Charalampos<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> 3<sup>rd</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Athens, Medical School, Attiko hospital
- <sup>2</sup> 2<sup>nd</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Athens Medical School, Aretaieio hospital

# Correspondence

**Zygouris Dimitrios** 

Papazoglou16, loannina, GR - 45444, Greece

E - mail: dzygouris@hotmail.com

# **Abstract**

Ovarian cancer is the leading cause of death by gynecological cancer and it is estimated that up to 17% of cases occur in women less than 40 years. In these patients it is often vital to maintain their fertility. This can be achieved using either fertility sparing types of surgery under strict selection criteria, or in combination with methods of assisted reproduction. In any case this should be avoided in patients over 40 years, and patients should be fully aware of the potential oncology and reproductive outcome. It is also needed a comprehensive surgical staging and patient's acceptance of a very close postoperative follow up. For patients with borderline tumor fertility sparing surgery is a safe option, with high percentages of achieved pregnancies and can be used either ovarian stimulation or ovarian tissue cryopreservation. In cases of invasive epithelial ovarian cancer fertility sparing clearly raises more concerns. The fertility surgery is recommended for patients

with stage IA and low risk factors and under strict conditions for stages IB and IC, but beyond it is not considered safe choice. After surgery, pregnancy can be achieved by natural conception or assisted reproduction. In recent years there is growing debate on in vitro maturation of oocytes and growth to maintain fertility, making it a promising alternative. Furthermore, cryopreservation of ovarian tissue is discussed and has found application in several experimental studies, but without sufficient data. In conclusion, we should mention that many methods are at an early stage and clinicians should always personalize each patient's treatment and provide full information about the chances of disease recurrence and the percentages of getting pregnant.

**Key words:** epithelial ovarian cancer; borderline ovarian tumor; fertility sparing; ovarian neoplasms

very year about 200,000 new cases of ovarian cancer are diagnosed worldwide and 125,000 women die, even though the new chemotherapy regimens have greatly increased survival of patients with ovarian cancer<sup>1</sup>.

Approximately 10% to 15% of epithelial ovarian cancers have histological features and biological behavior between benign tumor and invasive cancer and are called low - malignant - potential (borderline tumors). The epithelial ovarian cancer including borderline tumors is 90% - 95% of cases and the remaining malignancies are of only 5% - 10%<sup>2</sup>. The cornerstone for the treatment of borderline tumors is the surgical removal. In cases of small size tumors the operation can be completed laparoscopically by experienced and well trained surgeons, or by classical laparotomic approach<sup>2</sup>. In women of childbearing age who have a strong desire to preserve their fertility is acceptable to perform a single resection with preservation of the uterus and the contralateral adnexa3, even in cases where the final diagnosis is invasive cancer stage I FIGO.

It is estimated that 10% of epithelial cancers develop in young women under the age of  $40^2$ . In these patients is often vital to maintain their fertility. This can be achieved using either fertility sparing surgery with strict patient selection criteria or in combination with methods of reproductive medicine.

Since the appearance of a tumor in the ovary, surgical removal and staging is neccessary. The 1/3 of patients who appear to have disease in the ovary will be upstaged and will require postoperative chemotherapy. In patients with stage IA, IB grade 1 or 2, no further treatment is needed<sup>4</sup>. In this case, surgery can be performed in order to preserve fertility after selection of patients with strict criteria. Although the stage will increase in some patients, patients with stage I disease have excellent long term survival after unilateral adnexectomy. Although in some cases chemotherapy is required, patients maintain their reproductive ability<sup>5</sup>.

#### **Material and methods**

For this literature review articles from the following electronic databases: pubmed, google scholar, Embase and Cochrane data base, have been used. The study also includes abstracts from international congresses and textbooks of Obstetrics - Gynecology, Gynecologic Oncology and Reproductive Medicine. In order to find the specific material we used the following keywords: epithelial ovarian cancer, Borderline ovarian tumors, fertility preservation, fertility sparing surgery and ovarian tissue cryopreservation.

The purpose of this literature review is the overall presentation of the available options to preserve fertility in cases of ovarian cancer. We introduce the already well - established fertility preservation techniques for some epithelial ovarian tumors, and new data from cases in recent years. Some are widely accepted and safe with adequate bibliographic data, while in other cases there are many controversies and doubts as a result of the small number of cases. We also report modern experimental techniques that are applied in cases of early stage ovarian cancer.

# **Preserving fertility in borderline tumors**

Ovarian tissue cryopreservation is an excellent indication in borderline ovarian tumors, as this is a malignancy with excellent prognosis (5 - year survival of 99% for stage I) occurring in young women<sup>6</sup>. Until now, conservative surgery is the gold standard in treatment of early stages of borderline tumors without peritoneal implantations. Moreover, surgery is an adequate treatment and it is rarely required as adjuvant chemotherapy. In stage I of the disease the recurrence rate is 5 - 10% and 30 - 45% in patients that have done adnexectomy or cystectomy respectively<sup>7</sup>.

Conservative surgery for borderline tumors includes cystectomy or adnexectomy, peritoneal washings cytology, peritoneum biopsies, and where appropriate omentectomy and appendectomy<sup>8-9</sup>. Fertility sparing surgery was originally applied to early stages of the disease and did not significantly affect the survival rates<sup>10-12</sup>. There were only higher rates of disease recurrence, suggesting the need for closer post-

operative follow up of these patients. Fortin et al $^{13}$  reported ovarian stimulation in 30 patients with borderline tumor, after fertility sparing surgery, with a median follow up of 23 months. They concluded that it is safe to use fertility drugs in these cases.

When a relapse occurs in the residual ovary the best way to preserve fertility is cystectomy. However, in many cases this conservative surgery is not technically feasible, because recurrence is bulky and the position of relapse does not allow conservative surgery. Since the cystectomy is feasible (residual ovary size in situ good for fertility) this is the perfect choice for maintaining fertility<sup>7,14</sup>. Many authors have reported spontaneous conception and similar approach exists for patients with bilateral tumors at initial treatment.

There is growing interest in exploring ways of improving the fertility of patients in which preoperative indications show that a fertility sparing surgery is not feasible. If the patient has a partner he can go through an emergency IVF procedure. After completion of the procedure a surgery with purpose to remove the entire ovary and preserve the uterus follows. Such cases have been described with successful results in the literature <sup>13, 15</sup>. Nevertheless, the safety of such an approach is very strongly doubted. Moreover, such a treatment can not be recommended in patients without a partner.

In such cases, another option is cryopreservation of ovarian tissue. But so far there are not enough publications with ovarian tissue cryopreservation in patients with borderline tumors. One explanation for this is the reluctance of several research groups to date to suggest such an option for treating an ovarian tumor. The tumor is located in the organ which will be maintained raising many questions regarding safety and possible recurrence of the disease with re - implantation of cells in the future re - implantation of ovarian tissue sections. The risk of developing disease in cryopreserved tissue is extremely low in macroscopically normal ovarian tissue. The presence of microscopic disease in the contralateral ovary is low and Morice et al<sup>16</sup> reported that their initial experience in 14 patients showed no microscopic disease after tumor malignancy in the contralateral ovary. No patients experienced tumor cells in the part examined. However, the probability of cancer cells is increased in cases of serous papillary borderline tumor and in advanced stage disease.

Fain - Kahn et al $^{17}$  reported that in borderline tumors cryopreservation of ovarian tissue is feasible in 53%. The pregnancy rate after fertility sparing surgery ranged from 32% to  $100\%^{18-19}$ . Table 1 shows the pregnancy rates reported in the literature after conservative treatment of borderline ovarian tumors. The conception was either automatic or subsequent IVF.

Other researchers have developed other techniques to avoid the risk of transmitting cancer cells from cryopreserved ovarian tissue. Conducting in vitro maturation (IVM) and follicular isolation is one option. IVM has been successful in one 43 years old patient with a borderline tumor<sup>20</sup>, but with low numbers of oocytes.

Where it is feasible to carry out cystectomy, there is no need for cryopreservation, as conservative surgery is considered the best option for preserving fertility. Furthermore, removal of ovarian tissue may result in infertility. Another reason for making cryopreservation is to find malignant recurrence in patients with a history of mucous borderline tumor. In these few common cases cryopreservation of ovarian tissue is recommended. We should also mention that technical reasons during the surgery may not allow adequate tissue retrieval for cryopreservation, especially when the ovary is occupied entirely by tumor.

The next step in maintaining fertility in borderline tumors is to determine the minimum volume of residual ovarian tissue for freezing that is required to achieve the sufficient number of follicles thawing. In contrast there is a limitation of the potential existence of infiltration when taking the most of the ovaries<sup>6</sup>.

# Fertility preservation in epithelial ovarian cancer

The increase in early gynecological control using ultrasound resulted in increased diagnosis of ovarian cancer at an early stage. Also, most women give birth to their first child in an older age, so the need for pre-

serving fertility after diagnosis of early - stage ovarian cancer is growing. 3 - 17% of all epithelial ovarian cancers and 25% of stage I are in women under 40 years old<sup>21,22</sup>.

The surgery to maintain fertility is more aggressive than a simple unilateral adnexectomy. There is always risk of postoperative pelvic adhesions with potential to cause infertility. However, there are reports that there is no difference in the pregnancy rate<sup>23</sup>.

For patients with disease beyond stage IC fertility preservation is not considered as a safe option and should not be attempted. Park et al $^{24}$  reported 3 cases of patients with disease stage II and III which died from the disease 10 and 16 months respectively after the initial treatment.

Adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with stage I disease is necessary in unusual cell types with poor differentiation and stage  $IC^{25, 26}$ . The adjuvant chemotherapy is therefore an important factor of treatment to preserve fertility but should be added only in patients with high risk factors.

Morice et al<sup>27</sup> reported 33 patients with stage I disease who underwent unilateral adnexectomy. There were 11 recurrences of which 7/30 (23%) were stage IA and 3/3 (100%) IC. For this reason they do not recommend making adnexectomy in stage bigger than IA. However, the number of patients with IC disease or IA/G3 was too small to draw reliable conclusions. Worth mentioning is the fact that in 3 patients the relapses were in remote areas without any disease in the remaining ovary.

Instead, Schilder et al <sup>5</sup> reported 42 cases of stage IA and 10 of stage IC which underwent fertility sparing surgery in 8 different centers. There was one recurrence in 10 patients (10%) with stage IC. Moreover, Zanetta et al<sup>28</sup> in a series of 22 stage IC cases found one relapse (1/22, 4.5%) in the pelvic area and recommend fertility sparing surgery even for patients with stage IC. In another study, Kajiyama et al<sup>23</sup> did not report any difference in overall survival and disease-free survival among patients with stage IA and IC.

The fertility sparing surgery in patients with stage IB disease is extremely rare. If it is desired to maintain

the contralateral ovary at this stage is necessary to remove the tumor from the ovarian tissue with partial resection. However, it may remain in the tumor cells in the residual ovary, despite the intraoperative macroscopic and microscopic examination. Kajiyama et al<sup>23</sup> reported a case of recurrence and death from peritoneal carcinomatosis after a long time. Unlike, Park et al<sup>24</sup> reported two cases of patients without any evidence of recurrence, while Colombo et al<sup>29</sup> and Zanetta et al<sup>28</sup> reported each one case without any evidence of recurrence. Since the number of cases is too small it is very difficult to draw conclusions about safety at this stage of the disease. The fertility sparing surgery can be considered safe when there is a good portion of ovarian tissue remaining<sup>24</sup>. Though, we always have to keep in mind the possible increased risk of disease recurrence.

The selection of patients for fertility preservation is based largely on the stage of disease. For this reason a complete surgical staging is needed. Up to 30% of patients with presumed early stage disease were up staged after thorough staging surgery, due to microscopic metastases in the peritoneal washings, lymph nodes, omentum or the diaphragm<sup>30</sup>. If the patient is not completely staged, this is a negative prognostic factor<sup>31</sup>, while complete staging is necessary to avoid possible adjuvant chemotherapy.

The standard procedure involves pelvic and para aortic lymphadenectomy, omentectomy, peritoneal washings cytology, multiple peritoneal biopsies and possibly appendectomy. There are several controversies about the necessity of lymphadenectomy and appendectomy. The incidence of pelvic and para - aortic lymph node metastases is 8 - 30% in an apparent early stage  $^{30,32}$ . Cass et al $^{33}$  found that 21% of patients with pelvic or para-aortic lymph node metastases were found on the opposite side from that which was the original disease. These data demonstrate the necessity of systematic lymphadenectomy in the staging surgery<sup>34,35</sup>. The appendectomy in the early stage of disease and non-mucous tumors offers no proven benefit in many centers is still optional<sup>24, 36</sup>.

Table 1. Fertility sparing in women with borderline ovarian tumor								
	Number of patients	FIGO Stage	Fertility outcome (number of patients that achieved pregnancy)	Cancer recurrence rate				
Zanetta et al, 2001 <sup>3</sup>	184	I - III	44	28/184 (15%)				
Morice et al, 2001 <sup>16</sup>	49	I - III	16	9/49 (18%)				
Fauvet et al, 2005 <sup>10</sup>	162	1 - 111	30	27/152 (17%)				

Table 2. Fertility sparing in women with epithelial ovarian cancer									
	Number of patients	FIGO Stage	Grade	Fertility outcome (number of patients that achieved pregnancy)	Cancer recurrence rate				
Zanetta et al, 1997 <sup>28</sup>	56	IA - IC	G1 - G3	27	5/56 (9%)				
Morice et al, 2000 <sup>27</sup>	25	IA - IC	G1 - G3	4	7/25 (28%)				
Schilder et al, 2001 <sup>5</sup>	52	IA - IC	G1 - G3	31	5/52 (10%)				
Schlaert et al, 2009 <sup>38</sup>	20	IA - IC	G1 - G3	9	3/20 (15%)				
Satoh et al, 2010 <sup>42</sup>	211	IA - IC	G1 - G3	76	12/21 (9%)				
Kajiyama et al, 2010 <sup>23</sup>	60	IA - IC	G1 - G3	13	8/60 (13%)				

Another controversial point is how to evaluate the contralateral ovary. Munnel et al<sup>6</sup> calculated that the probability of a hidden disease in macroscopically normal ovary is 12%. Moreover, Benjamin et al<sup>37</sup> reported a 2.5% presence of microscopic disease in the contralateral ovary. Schlaerth et al<sup>38</sup> evaluated the contralateral ovary macroscopically with wedge biopsy and cystectomy and found no infiltration of the contralateral ovary in 20 cases. Also Colombo et al<sup>29</sup> and Zanetta et al<sup>28</sup> by performing a biopsy of the contralateral ovary did not find any disease.

An important issue that has been reported is the possibility of infertility and ovarian failure after a wedge biopsy<sup>16</sup>. Overall, however, careful inspection and biopsy of the contralateral ovary is considered adequate and safe procedure.

The evaluation of the endometrium is another important parameter to diagnose a hidden disease. There is always the possibility of sychronous endo-

metrial cancer or extension of ovarian cancer in the endometrium, especially in cases of endometrioid ovarian cancer. For this reason, it is recommended endometrial biopsy during the surgical staging, especially in patients with endometrioid ovarian cancer. Park et al<sup>24</sup> reported that in 8 cases of endometrioid tumor, endometrial cancer cells were not found in any case, while Schlaerth et al<sup>38</sup> in 14 cases found no development of carcinoma at the time of surgery, but one patient developed endometrial cancer 15 months after surgery. Zaino et al<sup>39</sup> found coexistence of endometrial cancer in endometrioid carcinomas at a rate of 10%. Therefore endometrial biopsy is recommended as part of the fertility sparing surgery.

The histologic grade (differentiation) is another prognostic factor in an early stage disease<sup>31</sup>. Fertility preservation is considered safe only in cases of grade I and II and not grade III, even if it is stage IA that will receive chemotherapy. The histological type is also

an important prognostic factor<sup>6</sup>. Park et al<sup>24</sup> reported 4 patients with clear cell ovarian cancer, of which 2 (stage IA) relapsed and died from the disease. For this reason fertility sparing surgery is not recommended in such aggressive histological types<sup>6</sup>.

Another important controversy is whether after completion of the childbearing (or after the age of 40 years) a total hysterectomy and adnexectomy must be performed. The decision should be individualized for each patient, taking into account all prognostic factors; considering that the possibility of late recurrence is not negligible. Morice et al<sup>27</sup> mentioned the latest relapse after more than 10 years. It is necessary to follow up closely these patients every 3 months for the first 2 years and then every 6 months. The check should include ultrasonography and tumor markers. Several researchers recommend delaying radical surgery to menopause as a logical decision<sup>24,40</sup>.

In addition fertility preservation by preserving the uterus and the adnexa has non - reproductive benefits. A recent meta - analysis showed risk reduction for cardiovascular diseases<sup>41</sup>.

Table 2 shows the articles with achieved pregnancies. Satoh et al $^{42}$  reported the biggest number of patients so far (211), with 76 achieved pregnancies. Park et al $^{24}$  mentioned that all 62 patients had menstruation after surgery while most patients who achieved pregnancy they did it without any birth defect, despite the increased proportion of patients receiving chemotherapy. Also Schlaerth et al $^{38}$  reported 6 patients that achieved pregnancies .

The ovarian tissue cryopreservation as an alternative to preserve fertility is still an experimental procedure. There are no data yet for firm conclusions, only a few report describing the application of the method in epithelial ovarian cancer $^{43}$ .

# **Conclusion**

Preserving fertility in patients with ovarian tumor should be performed with strict criteria selection of patients, based on the histological type of disease and prognostic factors. In any case this should be avoided in patients over 40 years and patients should be fully

aware of the potential oncological and reproductive outcome. Also it is necessary a comprehensive surgical staging and the patient's acceptance of a very close postoperative follow up.

For patients with borderline tumor fertility sparing surgery is a safe option. It is quite common to achieve a pregnancy after natural conception, and more rarely may require ovarian stimulation and IVF. Another alternative with very encouraging results is the cryopreservation of ovarian tissue and use it thereafter.

For invasive epithelial ovarian cancer fertility preservation clearly raises more concerns. Fertility preservation surgery is recommended for patients with stage IA and low risk factors and under strict conditions for stages IB and IC, but not beyond what is considered a safe choice. After surgery, pregnancy can be achieved by natural conception or assisted reproduction. The use of drugs for stimulation is also highly questionable. In recent years there is growing debate on in vitro maturation of oocytes and development to maintain fertility, making it a promising alternative. Furthermore, cryopreservation of ovarian tissue is discussed and has found application in several experimental studies, but without sufficient data. Main concern is the re - implantation of cancer cells and the direction of researchers is to find a safe method of screening for potential tumor cells. There are also prospects for the use of cryopreserved ovarian tissue to obtain oocytes for further use in the reproductive process.

We must always keep in mind that all these methods are at an early stage and we should always personalize the treatment of each patient. In addition the patient should be fully informed of the chances of disease recurrence and the pregnancy rates.

### **Conflict of interest**

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

 Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis

- for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2095 128.
- 2. Jayson GC, Kohn EC, Kitchener HC, Ledermann JA. Ovarian cancer. Lancet 2014;384:1376 88.
- 3. Zanetta G, Rota S, Lissoni A, Meni A, Brancatelli G, Buda A. Ultrasound, physical examination, and CA 125 measurement for the detection of recurrence after conservative surgery for early borderline ovarian tumors. Gynecol Oncol 2001;81:63 6.
- Shroff R, Brooks RA, Zighelboim I, et al. The utility of peritoneal biopsy and omentectomy in the upstaging of apparent early ovarian cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2011;21:1208 - 12.
- Schilder JM, Thompson AM, DePriest PD, et al. Outcome of reproductive age women with stage IA or IC invasive epithelial ovarian cancer treated with fertility-sparing therapy. Gynecol Oncol 2002;87:1 - 7.
- Morice P, Denschlag D, Rodolakis A, et al; Fertility Task
  Force of the European Society of Gynecologic Oncology. Recommendations of the Fertility Task Force
  of the European Society of Gynecologic Oncology
  about the conservative management of ovarian malignant tumors. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2011;21:951-63.
- 7. Morice P. Borderline tumours of the ovary and fertility. Eur J Cancer 2006;42:149 58.
- 8. Nam JH. Borderline ovarian tumors and fertility. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol 2010;22:227 34.
- Cadron I, Leunen K, Van Gorp T, Amant F, Neven P, Vergote I. Management of borderline ovarian neoplasms. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2928 - 37.
- Fauvet R, Boccara J, Dufournet C, Poncelet C, Daraï
   E. Laparoscopic management of borderline ovarian tumors: results of a French multicenter study. Ann Oncol 2005;16:403 - 10.
- 11. De laco P, Ferrero A, Rosati F, et al. Behaviour of ovarian tumors of low malignant potential treated with conservative surgery. Eur J Surg Oncol 2009;35: 643 8.
- 12. Zanetta G, Rota S, Chiari S, Bonazzi C, Bratina G, Mangioni C. Behavior of borderline tumors with particular interest to persistence, recurrence, and progression to invasive carcinoma: a prospective study. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:2658 64.
- 13. Fortin A, Morice P, Thoury A, Camatte S, Dhainaut C,

- Madelenat P. Impact of infertility drugs after treatment of borderline ovarian tumors: results of a retrospective multicenter study. Fertil Steril 2007;87: 591-6.
- 14. Fauvet R, Poncelet C, Boccara J, Descamps P, Fondrinier E, Daraï E. Fertility after conservative treatment for borderline ovarian tumors: a French multicenter study. Fertil Steril 2005;83:284 90.
- 15. Gallot D, Pouly JL, Janny L, et al. Successful transfer of frozen-thawed embryos obtained immediately before radical surgery for stage Illa serous borderline ovarian tumour: case report. Hum Reprod 2000;15:2347 50.
- Morice P, Camatte S, El Hassan J, Pautier P, Duvillard P, Castaigne D. Clinical outcomes and fertility after conservative treatment of ovarian borderline tumors. Fertil Steril 2001;75:92 - 6.
- 17. Fain-Kahn V, Poirot C, Uzan C, et al. Feasibility of ovarian cryopreservation in borderline ovarian tumours. Hum Reprod 2009;24:850 5.
- 18. Demeter A, Csapó Z, Szánthó A, Bálega J, Sipos N, Papp Z. A retrospective study of 27 ovarian tumors of low malignant potential. Eur J Gynaecol Oncol 2002;23:415 8.
- Chan JK, Lin YG, Loizzi V, Ghobriel M, DiSaia PJ, Berman ML. Borderline ovarian tumors in reproductive-age women. Fertility-sparing surgery and outcome. J Reprod Med 2003;48:756 60.
- 20. Huang JY, Buckett WM, Gilbert L, Tan SL, Chian RC. Retrieval of immature oocytes followed by in vitro maturation and vitrification: a case report on a new strategy of fertility preservation in women with borderline ovarian malignancy. Gynecol Oncol 2007;105:542 4.
- 21. Duska LR, Chang YC, Flynn CE, et al. Epithelial ovarian carcinoma in the reproductive age group. Cancer 1999;85:2623 9.
- 22. Rodriguez M, Nguyen HN, Averette HE, et al. National survey of ovarian carcinoma XII. Epithelial ovarian malignancies in women less than or equal to 25 years of age. Cancer 1994;73:1245 50.
- 23. Kajiyama H, Shibata K, Suzuki S, et al. Fertility-sparing surgery in young women with invasive epithe-

- lial ovarian cancer. Eur J Surg Oncol 2010;36: 404 8.
- 24. Park JY, Kim DY, Suh DS, et al. Outcomes of fertility-sparing surgery for invasive epithelial ovarian cancer: oncologic safety and reproductive outcomes. Gynecol Oncol 2008;110:345 53.
- 25. Le T, Krepart GV, Lotocki RJ, Heywood MS. Clinically apparent early stage invasive epithelial ovarian carcinoma: should all be treated similarly? Gynecol Oncol 1999;74:252 4.
- 26. Young RC, Walton LA, Ellenberg SS, et al. Adjuvant therapy in stage I and stage II epithelial ovarian cancer. Results of two prospective randomized trials. N Engl J Med 1990;322:1021 7.
- 27. Morice P, Leblanc E, Rey A, et al. Conservative treatment in epithelial ovarian cancer: results of a multicentre study of the GCCLCC (Groupe des Chirurgiens de Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer) and SFOG (Société Francaise d'Oncologie Gynécologique). Hum Reprod 2005;20:1379 85.
- 28. Zanetta G1, Chiari S, Rota S, et al. Conservative surgery for stage I ovarian carcinoma in women of child-bearing age. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1997;104:1030 5.
- 29. Colombo N, Chiari S, Maggioni A, Bocciolone L, Torri V, Mangioni C. Controversial issues in the management of early epithelial ovarian cancer: conservative surgery and role of adjuvant therapy. Gynecol Oncol 1994;55:S47 51.
- 30. Park JY, Kim DY, Suh DS, et al. Comparison of laparoscopy and laparotomy in surgical staging of early-stage ovarian and fallopian tubal cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2008;15:2012 9.
- 31. Zanetta G, Rota S, Chiari S, et al. The accuracy of staging: an important prognostic determinator in stage I ovarian carcinoma. A multivariate analysis. Ann Oncol 1998;9:1097 101.
- 32. Tsumura N, Sakuragi N, Hareyama H, et al. Distribution pattern and risk factors of pelvic and para-aortic lymph node metastasis in epithelial ovarian carcinoma. Int J Cancer 1998;79:526 30.
- 33. Cass I, Li AJ, Runowicz CD, Fields AL, et al. Pattern

- of lymph node metastases in clinically unilateral stage I invasive epithelial ovarian carcinomas. Gynecol Oncol 2001;80:56 61.
- 34. Benedetti-Panici P, Greggi S, Maneschi F, et al. Anatomical and pathological study of retroperitoneal nodes in epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 1993;51:150 4.
- 35. Petru E, Lahousen M, Tamussino K, et al. Lymphadenectomy in stage I ovarian cancer. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1994;170:656 62.
- 36. Ramirez PT, Slomovitz BM, McQuinn L, Levenback C, Coleman RL. Role of appendectomy at the time of primary surgery in patients with early-stage ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2006;103:888 90.
- 37. Benjamin I, Rubin SC. Management of early-stage epithelial ovarian cancer. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am 1994;21:107 19.
- 38. Schlaerth AC, Chi DS, Poynor EA, Barakat RR, Brown CL. Long-term survival after fertility-sparing surgery for epithelial ovarian cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2009;19:1199 204.
- 39. Zaino R, Whitney C, Brady MF, DeGeest K, Burger RA, Buller RE. Simultaneously detected endometrial and ovarian carcinomas--a prospective clinicopathologic study of 74 cases: a gynecologic oncology group study. Gynecol Oncol 2001;83:355 62.
- 40. Wright JD, Shah M, Mathew L, et al. Fertility preservation in young women with epithelial ovarian cancer. Cancer 2009;115:4118 26.
- 41. Atsma F, Bartelink ML, Grobbee DE, van der Schouw YT. Postmenopausal status and early menopause as independent risk factors for cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. Menopause 2006;13:265 79.
- 42. Satoh T, Hatae M, Watanabe Y, et al. Outcomes of fertility-sparing surgery for stage I epithelial ovarian cancer: a proposal for patient selection. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:1727 32.
- 43. Klock SC, Zhang JX, Kazer RR. Fertility preservation for female cancer patients: early clinical experience. Fertil Steril 2010;94:149 55.